





Potravinarstvo Slovak Journal of Food Sciences vol. 11, 2017, no. 1, p. 550-557 doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.5219/733 Received: 13 February 2017. Accepted: 28 September 2017. Available online: 30 October 2017 at www.potravinarstvo.com © 2017 Potravinarstvo Slovak Journal of Food Sciences, License: CC BY 3.0 ISSN 1337-0960 (online)

A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES AND ANTIMICROBIAL QUALITIES OF ABUAD MORINGA SOAP WITH CONVENTIONAL MEDICATED SOAPS

Pius Abimbola Okiki, Abiodun Ayodele Ojo, Hadiza Onyibe, Olawale Oso, Basiru Olaitan Ajiboye

ABSTRACT

OPEN oPEN

The study was aimed at assessing the physicochemical properties and antimicrobial qualities of 'ABUAD moringa soap', a herbal soap produced with Moringa oleifera leaf by 'ABUAD Farm', Afe Babalola University, Ado Ekiti, Nigeria. The physicochemical properties and antimicrobial qualities of ABUAD moringa soap on some selected bacteria and fungi were evaluated and compared with those of some conventional medicated and herbal soaps commonly used in Nigeria, such as Dettol, Tetmosol, Tura, Septol, Delta and Dudu Osun (herbal), as well as Lux, which serves as a control soap. The results of the physicochemical analyses revealed that all the soaps fall within the pH range of 8.83 and 9.83. All the soaps possess low values of free caustic alkali and detectable free fatty acid, as well as moderate values of total fatty matter. In-vitro antibacterial and antifungal activities of the soaps were investigated against microbial agents commonly found in association with skin infections, using the well-agar diffusion technique. The bacteria tested were Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923 and Proteus mirabilis (ATCC 12453), as well as four clinical isolates namely, Escherichia coli, Leutococcus sanguinis, Corynebacterium accolens and Burkholderia cepacia. The fungi were Candida albicans ATCC 10231, Malassezia furfur ATCC 44349, and Cryptococcus neoformans ATCC 23645. All the soaps, with exeption of lux, produced varied degrees of antibacterial activities, but ABUAD Moringa soap and Dudu Osun indicated superior effectiveness against the bacteria tested. Antifugal activities were produced by ABUAD moringa and Dudu Osun soaps only, on the fungi tested. ABUAD Moringa produced significantly higher antifungal activities on Malassezia furfur ATCC 44349 and Candida albicans ATCC 10231 than Dudu Osun, but no significant difference was observed between the two soaps on their activities against Cryptococcus neoformans ATCC 23645. The study showed that ABUAD Moringa soap possesses high therapeutic potentials against agents of bacterial and fungal skin infections.

Keywords: antibacterial; antifungal; moringa soap; skin infections

INTRODUCTION

The skin is an important organ of the body that serves as an agent of protection against infection by microorganisms and shields delicate underlying tissue from injury, most of the microflora found on the human skin are harmless and some are beneficial. The normal flora also serves as a defence against invading microorganisms, and therefore should not be adversely eliminated. However, it should be noted that every organism is a potential pathogen, because microorganisms may cause infections particularly if the skin is broken, causing skin diseases and could enter the blood system creating life-threatening diseases particularly in immunosuppressed people (Alam et al., 1989; Cogen et al. 2008; Calleweat et al., 2013). Some of the normal microfloras of the skin include Staphylococcus aureus, Candida albicans, Malassezia furfur etc. (Speers et al., 1965).

The use of soaps plays a significant role in human's skin hygiene and as a consequence promotes health. Interestingly, soaps commonly called medicinal soaps are known to possess antimicrobial properties which make them useful for the treatment of various skin condition caused by microorganism while the regular soaps are used to wash of dirt.

Soap is a cleaning or emulsifying agent made by reacting animal or vegetable fats or oils with potassium or sodium hydroxide. Soap often contains colouring matter and acts by emulsifying grease and lowering the surface tension of water so that it readily penetrates to remove dirt. Medicated soaps contain additional ingredients, usually for the treatment of skin disorders. Bathing with soap and water has been considered a measure of personal hygiene (Eckburg et al., 2005). Some common medicated soaps with their active ingredients are: Tura (Triclosan, Allantoin, Vitamin E, Tollowate, Sodium palmkernelote, Aqua, Parfum, C112940 (pigment Red), C177266 (carbon Black), C174160 (pigment Blue 15); Tetmosol (Soap base, Monosulfiran, B.P 5% and Citronella oil), Septol (Soap base, colour (yellow) Fragrance, water, Iragasan Dp300 (0.3%), EDTA (0.15%), Vitamin E (0.20%); Dettol (Soap base, Fragrance, Colour, Antibacterial deodorant, Chloroxylerol (0.3%); Delta (Sodium palmitate, Sodium palmkernelate, Stabiliers, Tricholorocarbanilide, Parfum, Colourant C.1.77891 (Eckburg et al., 2005).

Herbal soaps are soaps made with plants and natural ingredient that have medicinal value used to promote healthy skin (Soap History, 2014). Example of herbal soap include Dudu Osun (Pure honey Shea butter, Palm kernel oil Cocoa Pod ash, Lime juice, Aloe vera, Palm bunch ash, sulphur, Water and Fragrance.

Regular soap is designed to decrease water's surface tension and to lift dirt and oils off the surfaces so that it can be easily rinsed away. Though regular soap does not contain added antibacterial chemicals, it is effective in getting rid of bacteria and other germs (Lalan, 2010). An example of a regular or toilet soaps include Lux (Sodium Tallowate, Sodium Palmate, Aqua, Sodium Palm Kernate, Glycerin, Parfum, Sodium Sulphate, Titanium Dioxide, Phosphoric acid, Tetrasodium EDTA, Cinnamal, Benzyl Disulfonate, Hexyl Salicylate, Buttylphenyl, Methylpropional, Citronellol, Gerniol. Coumarin, Limoene, Cl 74160).

The physicochemical properties of soaps determine their quality and cleansing efficacy. Such physiochemical characteristics include pH, total fatty matter, free caustic alkali, moisture content, and free fatty acid among others. The qualities of alkali and oil used as well achieving complete saponification also have significant contribution to soap quality (Viorica et al., 2011; Vivian et al., 2014).

This study was aimed at investigating the physicochemical and antimicrobial qualities of ABUAD Moringa Soap® (ABUAD farm, Teaching and Research Farm of Afe Babalola University, Ado -Ekiti, Nigeria) and comparing these qualities with those of conventional medicated soaps that are in high demand in Nigerian market, namely, Dettol, Delta, Tura, Septol, and Tetmosol soaps.

MATERIAL AND METHODOLOGY

Collection of samples

ABUAD moringa soap was obtained from ABUAD soap factory, Afe Babalola University, Ado Ekiti, Nigeria. ABUAD moringa soap (Plate 1) is a herbal soap (soap base, palm kernel oil, coconut oil and leaf extract of *Moringa oleifera* Lam as major constituents) is produced and marketed by ABUAD farm, the Teaching and Research Farm of Afe Babalola University, Ado Ekiti, Nigeria. DuduOsun (herbal soap), five medicated soaps namely, Delta, Tura, Tetmosol, Septol and Dettol, as well as Lux (a regular soap) were purchased from Oba market in Ado Ekiti. All the soaps were anaylsed in the Science laboratories of Afe Babalola University for their physicochemical and antimicrobial qualities.

Physiochemical analysis

The physicochemical analyses of the soaps, namely determinations of pH, total fatty matter, free caustic alkali and free fatty acid, were carried out as described by AOAC (2010)

Determination of pH

A 10% aqueous solution of each soap was prepared and used for pH determination using Hanna Instrument pH Meter (HI 2210), that was previously calibrated with buffer solutions 7 and 10.

Determination of Total Fatty Matter test

A quantity of 5 grams of soap was weighed into 250 mL conical flask and 100 mL of distilled water was added, warmed completely until the soap dissolved. A few drops of methyl orange were added, then dilute H₂SO₄ was added until the solution turn acidic and 5 mL of H₂SO₄ was added in excess. With a small funnel placed unto the flask, the soap solution was heated to a temperature not exceeding 60 °C until the fatty acids separated as a clear layer. A volume of 50 mL saturated sodium chloride was added to the solution and was allowed to cool before quantitatively transferring into a separating funnel and the aqueous layer carefully separated. The separated aqueous layer was washed with three portions of 50 mL of ethyl ether. The ether extract was combined with the fatty acid in the first separating funnel. The fatty acid/ether solution was washed with 3×10 mL of water portion. The water portion used for washing was shaken with 20 mL ether and the ether portion was added to fatty acid/ether solution. The ether portions was collected into a weighed beaker and evaporated on a steam bath. After evaporation of the ether, the beaker was placed in the oven at a temperature of 90 °C for 10 minutes. It was then removed from oven and cooled in the desiccators and weighed. The fatty matter residue was weighed and calculated.

Determination of free caustic alkali

A quantity of 5 grams of soap sample was weighed in a 250 mL conical flask and 25 mL of distilled water plus a few drops of phenolphthalein solution were added such that the solution turn pink, indicating an alkaline solution. The solution was titrated against 0.1 M of HCl until a pink coloration was discharged. The burette reading was used to calculate the free caustic alkali.

Determination of free fatty acid

A quantity of 5g of soap sample was weighed into a conical flask and set aside. 25 mL of each of diethyl ether and ethanol was measured into a conical flask and neutralized with NaOH solution using Phenolphthalein indicator and warmed on a water bath. Then the mixture was added into conical flask containing weighed soap sample and was titrated against 0.1 M NaOH until colour change. The titre value was used to calculate the free fatty acid.

Collection of test organisms

All microorganisms, six bacteria namely, Proteus mirabilis (ATCC 12453), Luteococcus sanguinis,

Potravinarstvo Slovak Journal of Food Sciences

Burkholderia cepacia, Escherichia coli, Corynebacterium accolens and Staphylococous aureus ATCC 25923, as well as three fungi namely, Malassezia furfur ATCC 44349, Candida albicans ATCC 10231, were obtained from the stock culture of the Department of Biological Sciences, Afe Babalola University, Ado-Ekiti.

Preparation of standard Inoculum

Bacteria isolates were suspended in nutrient broth in comparison to 0.5 McFarland standards and Fungi isolates were suspended into potato dextrose broth in comparison to 1.0 McFarland standards.

Sterilization Methods

Materials such as conical flask, universal bottles and beaker were sterilized by using the oven at 160 °C for 1 hour. Distilled water and Media such as Mueller Hinton agar, Nutrient broth and potato Dextrose broth were sterilized in autoclave (model type; ST 19T) at 121 °C for 15 minutes.

Preparation of Soap Concentration

A stock solution of 500 mg.mL⁻¹ of the soap was prepared by adding 5 g of the soap into 10 mL of sterile water in a universal bottle and warmed to dissolve the soap into solution in a water bath at 60 °C. Using the stock solution, various concentrations of the soap; 250, 125, 100 and 50 mg.mL⁻¹ were obtained.

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test.

The antimicrobial susceptibility investigations for the soaps were done using agar well diffusion method as described by **CLSI** (2012, 2013). Each organism was inoculated onto the surface of already prepared sterile

Muller Hinton agar, a sterile swab stick was used to carpet spread the inoculums on the agar. A sterile cork-borer was used to bore 5 wells into the agar gel, while the different soap concentrations were dispensed into the five wells and were allowed to diffuse for 1 hour. Incubation was carried out at 37 $^{\circ}$ C for 24 hour for bacterial and 3 to 5 days at room temperature for fungi.

GC-MS analysis of oil of Moringa oleifera leaf

Hexane extract of dried leaves of *Moringa oleifera* was analyzed for the chemical constituents of the essential oil by Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) technique as described by **Sengupta et al. (2015)**.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were determined with Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using SPSS window 20.0.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physicochemical analysis of soaps

The results obtained from analyses of different physicochemical parameters of the soaps tested are presented in Table 1. All the soaps fall within the pH range of 8.83 and 9.83. All the soaps possess low values of free caustic alkali and detectable free fatty acid, as well as moderate values of total fatty matter.

The pH values of all soaps analysed in this study agreed with the values obtained by **Habib et al.** (2016) for toilet soaps sold in Bangladesh; but were far higher than the pH 5.4 - 5.9 of normal human skin (**Tarun et al., 2014**). The use of soaps with high pH values will cause the skin pH to increase, resulting in dehydration, and alteration of bacteria flora, and ultimately pathogenesis of microbial skin diseases (**Tarun et al., 2014**). Most commercial soaps



Figure 1 Plate 1: ABUAD Moringa soap (with Moringa oleifera leaf shown on the package).

| Table 1 Physicochemical analysis of soaps. | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Soap | рН | Total Fatty Matter | Free Caustic | Free Fatty Acid (%) | | |
| | | (70) | Alkanne (70) | | | |
| Moringa | 9.32 ± 0.02 | 70.3 ± 2.02 | 0.65 ± 0.12 | 0.60 ± 0.01 | | |
| DuduOsun | 8.83 ± 0.05 | 66.6 ± 3.72 | 0.96 ± 0.42 | 0.98 ± 0.22 | | |
| Tetmosol | 9.44 ± 0.55 | 70.7 ±2.41 | 0.35 ± 0.06 | 0.20 ± 0.02 | | |
| Septol | 9.56 ±0.13 | 68.0 ± 1.02 | 0.25 ± 0.02 | 2.80 ± 0.25 | | |
| Tura | 9.61 ±0.20 | 77.1 ± 1.02 | 0.37 ± 0.23 | 1.19 ± 0.11 | | |
| Dettol | 9.71 ±0.40 | 78.6 ± 0.92 | 0.04 ± 0.01 | 1.01 ± 0.32 | | |
| Delta | 9.83 ± 0.30 | 72.3 ± 1.12 | 0.25 ± 0.03 | 0.51 ± 0.01 | | |
| Lux | 9.68 ±0.19 | 73.7 ± 0.09 | 0.06 ± 0.02 | 0.85 ± 0.02 | | |

| Soaps | SI | ili | | n | | | |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | ation | ia co | rria | s s | sn | ocus 923 | 453 |
| | \mathbf{L}^{1} | ich. | olde | sbac len | occ | loca 259 | s lus F 12 |
| | g.m | sher | rkh paci | ryn | teoc ngu | phy CCC | oteu rabi |
| | (II) Co | Ec | Bu cep | m Co | Lu Sa | Sta au AT | Pre min |
| | 500 | 22 | 13 | 27 | 17 | 25 | 14 |
| | 250 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 10 | 23 | 13 |
| Moringa | 125 | 18 ^a | 4 ^{adiij} | 17 ^a | 9 ^{ac} | 17 ^a | 11 ^{ad} |
| | 100 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 9 |
| | 500 | 28 | 13 | 18 | 18 | 14 | 12 |
| | 250 | 23 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 9 | 10 |
| DuduOsun | 125 | 18 ^b | 8^{bi} | 12 ^b | 11 ^b | 7 ^b | 9 ^{bce} |
| | 100 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| | 50 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 500 | 12 | 0 | 14 | 9 | 27 | 0 |
| Totmogol | 250 | 9 | 0 odbikl | 9 | 5 obcdg | 23 | 0 oab |
| 1 etmosol | 125 | 40 | Oulijki | 5° | 0 ^{ocug} | 18 | 0^{ab} |
| | 100 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| | 500 | 19 | 12 | 16 | 14 | <u>9</u> 25 | 12 |
| | 250 | 15 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 17 | 6 |
| Septol | 125 | 12 ^d | 9 ^d | 9 ^d | 8 ^d | 9 ^d | 0 ^{ac} |
| | 100 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 0 |
| | 50 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 500 | 12 | 14 | 9 | 17 | 19 | 14 |
| T | 250 | 8 | 10 | 4 | 14 | 17 | 9 . hda |
| Tura | 125 | 6 ^{en} | 9 ^{ck} | O ^{erg} | 13 ^e | 15 ^e | 0 ^{bde} |
| | 100 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 14 | 0 |
| | 500 | 16 | 13 | <u> </u> | 10 | <u> </u> | 13 |
| | 250 | 13 | 10 | 14 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| Delta | 125 | 11 ^{fh} | 9 ^{ej} | 8 ^f | 5 ^f | 5 ^f | 0^{ab} |
| | 100 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 500 | 15 | 0 | 12 | 14 | 14 | 0 |
| Dettal | 250 | 13 | 0 a bik | 10 | 12 | 12 | 0 a ab |
| Dettoi | 125 | 10 ^{gn} | 0 ^{mk} | 8 ^g | 9 ⁸ | 9g | 0^{ab} |
| | 100 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| | <u> </u> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lux | 125 | 0^{h} | 0 ^{hik} | 0 ^{a-h} | 0 ^{a-g} | 0^{g} | 0^{ab} |
| | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| F-values | | 2.971 | 3.799 | 3.262 | 2.214 | 5.407 | 3.682 |
| P-values | | 0.016 | 0.004 | 0.010 | 0.059 | 0.002 | 0.013 |

Table 2 Activities of soaps on bacteria showing zones of inhibition

Note: Values are in mm.

^{a-k}Values of zones of inhibition (mm) compared between soaps along column with no common superscript are significantly different (p < 0.05).

do have high pH values. **Tarun et al. (2014)**, working on 66 different soaps (including bathing soaps) in India reported that only 5 of the soaps had pH <9.0; 53 soaps had pH 9.01 - 10.0; while the remaining 6 had pH value of 10.63 - 11.01. **Onyangu et al. (2014)** equally reported high pH values of 10.03 - 11.71 in soaps sold in Kenya. Since alteration in skin pH plays significant roles in pathogenesis of skin diseases, the use of cleansing agents with low pH values of about 5.5 has been advocated for

prevention and treatment of skin diseases (Tarun et al., 2014).

The free caustic alkali normally results from improper or incomplete saponification. The recommended value is 0.25% for laundry soap and 0.2% for toilet soap (**Snell**, **Ettre and Hilton, 2007**). The free caustic alkali contents of tetmosol, septol, tura, dettol, delta and lux soaps are relatively low, while those of ABUAD Moringa and DuduOsun soaps were of higher values. Although the values obtained for the free caustic alkali contents of

| Table 3 Activities of soa | ps on fungi showing | zones of inhibition. |
|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | 1 0 0 | |

| sd | acentrations s.mL ^{.1}) | lassezia furfur CC 44349 | <i>idida albicans</i> CC 10231 | ptococcus formans CC 23645 | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Soa | ĨĨ C | Ma | AT | Cry AT | |
| | 500 | 14 | 16 | 14 | |
| | 250 | 12 | 15 | 10 | |
| Moringa | 125 | 11 ^a | 14 ^a | 9 ^a | |
| | 100 | 9 | 9 | 0 | |
| | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | 500 | 13 | 12 | 14 | |
| | 250 | 10 | 10 | 9 | |
| Dudu Osun | 125 | 7^{b} | 6 ^b | 8 ^a | |
| | 100 | 6 | 3 | 5 | |
| | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| _ | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Tetmosol | 125 | 0^{c} | 0 c | 0 ^b | |
| | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| ~ | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Septol | 125 | 0^{c} | 0 ° | 0^{b} | |
| | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 7 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Tura | 125 | 0 ° | 0 c | 0 ^b | |
| | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| D-14- | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Delta | 125 | 0 ° | 0 ^c | 0 5 | |
| | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Dettal | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 eb | |
| Dettor | 125 | 0. | 0 * | 05 | |
| | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Inv | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| LUX | 125 | 0~ | 0. | 0 ~ | |
| | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| E I | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| r -values P-values | | 0.614 | 0.692 | 0.898 | |
| I -values | | 0.014 | 0.002 | 0.420 | |

Note: Values are in mm; ^{a-c}Values of zones of inhibition (mm) compared between soaps along column with different superscripts are statistically different (p < 0.05).

ABUAD Moringa and DuduOsun soaps agreed with the values reported by **Taiwo et al (2010)**, but were far lower that values reported by **Beetseh et al. (2013)**.

The total fatty matter of soap is a measure of its suitability for bathing and washing of materials (**Ogunsuyi** and Akinnawo, 2012). The total fatty matter for all the reported in this compared favourably with the work of **Vivian et al.** (2014), as well as the values of 71 - 84 obtained by **Viorica et al.** (2011); but lower than values of 74 - 92 obtained by **Kuntom** (1999).

In-vitro antimicrobial activities of soaps

The results of anti-bacterial activities of the soaps are presented in Table 2. All the bacteria tested were susceptible to ABUAD moringa soap, DuduOsun, Septol, Tura and Delta, while varied susceptibilities were obtained for Tetmosol and Dettol. Lux which served as negative control did not produce any antibacterial activity. ABUAD moringa and DuduOsun soaps indicated superior effectiveness against the bacteria tested compared to other soaps. However there was no significant difference

| Potravinarstvo | Slovak . | Journal of | Food Sciences |
|----------------|----------|------------|----------------------|
|----------------|----------|------------|----------------------|

| Table 4 Compounds identified in moringa leaf oil extract. | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|----------|-------------------|
| Peak no | Retention Time | Peak Area (%) | Name of compound | Molecular weight | Formula | Structure |
| 1 | 15.292 | 1.73 | 2-Propenamide, N-(1- cyclohexylethyl)- | 181 | C11H19NO | NH C |
| 2 | 15.409 | 39.18 | Hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester | 270 | C17H34O2 | ·/····· |
| 3 | 16.793 | 47.13 | 9-Octadecenoic acid (Z)-, methyl ester | 296 | C19H36O2 | ~~~~ [~] |
| 4 | 16.985 | 10.26 | Heptadecanoic acid, 10-methyl-, methyl ester | 298 | C19H38O2 | mynni |
| 5 | 18.793 | 1.70 | Cholest-8-ene-3,6- diol, 14-methyl-, (3.beta.,5.alpha) | 416 | C28H48O2 | -05 |



Figure 2 Spectra of GCMS Analysis of the Moringa leaf oil extract.

observed in antibacterial activities between ABUAD moringa and DuduOsun soaps.

The results of the antifungal activities of the soaps are presented in Table 3. Only ABUAD moringa and DuduOsun soaps were effective against all the fungi tested. ABUAD moringa soap indicated higher significant activities on *Malassezia furfur* ATCC 44349 and *Candida albicans* ATCC 10231 than DuduOsun. However there was no significant difference between the two soaps on their activities against *Cryptococcus neoformans* ATCC 23645.

The work of Ekanola et al. (2012) has previously established similar experimental evidence comparable to this work. Ekanola et al. (2012) investigated the role of soaps in human hygiene. In the work, the determination of the in vitro inhibitory activities of the various industrial soaps (Delta, Dettol, Lux, Septol, Tetmosol, and Tura), were carried out. It was discovered that none of the soaps showed inhibitory activity against Candida. Other researchers have also investigated the in-vitro inhibitory activities of soaps. According to Ihuma et al. (2013), Delta soap retained more normal flora than Tetmosol or Tura. Therefore based on this study, ABUAD moringa and Dudu Osun soaps were observed to have better justification in terms of their physicochemical properties and antimicrobial quality for preventive and therapeutic soap measures for maintaining good hygiene. Other soaps in this study have also indicated some effectiveness against bacterial isolates but not sufficiently against antifungal isolates.

The antimicrobial efficacy of ABUAD moringa soap could be attributed to the content of *Moringa oleifera* leaf extract, as previous studies on *Moringa oleifera* leaf cultivated in ABUAD farm, used for the soap production, have been found to possess vital phytochemicals and high antibacterial activities (**Okiki et al., 2015a,b**). In addition, coconut oil, which is known to possess antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory properties (**Fitzpatrick, 2012**), used in the ABUAD Moringa soap production, could contribute to the soap's antimicrobial qualities.

GCMS Analysis of Moringa Oleifera leaf

Figure 1 shows the spectra of GCMS analysis of the Moringa leaf oil extract and the identified compounds in the oil are presented in Table 4. The presence of hexadecanoic, 9-octadecenoic, heptadecanoic and cholest-8-ene-3,6-diol may be useful as anti-inflammatory, immunostimulant, antitumour, antioxidant and flavour enhancers in cosmetic production (**Omotoso et al., 2014**). This may serve as a plus to Moringa oleifera leaf saop.

CONCLUSION

ABUAD moringa soap was reported in this study to have potent antibacterial and antifungal activities. Antimicrobial soaps with high antimicrobial potency should be used only for therapeutic purposes and not for prophylaxis because its continuous use may eliminate both beneficial and harmful microflora of the skin.

REFERENCES

Alam, N., Wojtyma, K. 1989. Mothers' personal and domestic hygiene and diarrhoea incidence in young children in rural Bangladesh. *International Journal of* Epidemiology, vol. 18, no. 1, p. 242-287. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/18.1.242</u> PMid:2722372

Adamu, S. O., Johnson, T. L. 1997. *Statistics for beginners*. Book 1. Ibadan, Nigeria : SAAL Publication, p. 184-199.

AOAC. 2010. Association of Analytical Chemist. Official Methods of Analysis 14th ed., Washington D C.

Beetseh, C., Anza, M. 2013. Chemical Characterization of Black Soap (Chahul Mtse) Made by Using Cassava Peels Ashes (Alkali Base) and Palm Oil in North Central Zone of Nigeria. *Journal of Chemical Characteristics of Local Black Soap*, vol. 3, p. 82-93.

Callewaert, C., Frederiek, M., Michael, S., Granitsiotis M., Tom V., Nico, B. 2013. Characterization of Staphylococcus and Corynebacterium Clusters in the Human Axillary Region; *PLoS ONE*, vol. 8, no. 8, p. e70538.14.

CLSI, 2012. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institutes. Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria That Grow Aerobically; Approved Standard – 9th ed. [online] [cit. 2017-1-13] Available at:

https://clsi.org/standards/products/microbiology/document s/m07/.

CLSI, 2013.Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institutes. Performance Standard of Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; 23rd Information Supplement [online] [cit. 2017-1-13] Available at:

https://www.aacc.org/store/books/9200/performancestandards-for-antimicrobial-susceptibility-testing.aspx.

Cogen, A. L, Nizet, V., Gallo, R. L. 2008. Skin microbiota: a source of disease or defense. *British Journal of Dermatology*, vol. 158, no. 3, p. 442-455. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2133.2008.08437.x PMid:18275522

Eckburg, P. B, Bik, E. M., Bemstein, C. N., Pardon E., Dethlefsen, L., Sargent, M., Gill, S. R., Rehman, D. A. 2005. Diversity of the human skin microbiome. *Science*, vol. 324, p. 1190-1192.

Ekanola, Y. A., Ogunshe, A. A. O., Azeez, D. L., Opasol, O. 2012. Studies on in vitro antimycotic potentials of local and industrial soaps on vuvlovaginal *Candida* species. *International Journal of Plant, Animal and Environmental Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 3, p. 69-74.

Snell, F. D., Ettre, L. S., Hilton, C. L. 2007. *Encyclopedia* of *Industrial Chemical analysis*. Geneva, Switzerland : Interscience Publishers. 545 p. ISBN 9780471810100.

Evans, C. A. 1975. Persistent individual differences in the bacterial flora of the skin of the forehead: numbers of propionibacter. *Journal of Dermatology*, vol. 64, no. 1, p. 42-50. https://doi.org/10.1111/1523-1747.ep12540897

Ogunsuyi, H. O., Akinnawo, C. A. 2012. Quality Assessment of Soaps Produced from Palm Bunch Ash-Derived Alkali and Coconut Oil. *Journal of Applied Sciences* & *Environental Management*, vol. 16, no. 4, p. 363-366.

Habib, A., Kumar, S., Sorowar, S., Karmoker, J., Khatun, K., Al-Reza, S. M. 2016. Study on the Physicochemical Properties of Some Commercial Soaps Available in Bangladeshi Market. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Chemical Science*, vol. 3, no. 6, p. 9-12.

Ihuma, J. O., Asenga, G. H., Abioye, J. O. K., Koggie, A. Z., Nmadu, P., Nwakwo, M. 2013. The Effect of Some Medicated Soaps on Some Normal-Micro-flora of the Human Skin. International Journal of Advanced Biologyical Research, vol. 3, no. 3, p. 341-347.

Kuntom, A., Ahmad, I., Kifli, M., Shariff, Z. M. 1999. Chemical and Physical Characteristics of Soap Made from Distilled Fatty Acids of Palm Oil and Palm-Kernel Oil. *Journal of Surfactants and Detergents*, vol. 2, p. 325-329. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11743-999-0084-5

Lalan, M. 2010. Antibacteria Soap Dangers [online] [cit.2017-1-13]Availableat:

http://www.buzzle.com/articles/antibacterial-soap-dangers.html.

Fitzpatrick, M. M. 2012. The Uses and Benefits of Coconut Oil [online] [cit. 2017-1-13] Available at: http://ezinearticles.com/?Coconut-Oil-Uses&id=7084722.

Okiki, P. A., Balogun, B. D., Osibote, I. A, Asoso, S., Adelegan, O. 2015a. Antibacterial Activity of Methanolic Extract of *Moringa oleifera* Lam. Leaf on ESBL Producing Bacterial Isolates from Urine of Patients with Urinary Tract Infections. *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*, vol. 5, no. 20, p. 124-132.

Okiki, P. A., Osibote, I. A., Balogun, O., Oyinloye, B. E., Idris, O., Adelegan, O., Asoso, S. O. 2015b. Evaluation of Proximate, Minerals, Vitamins and Phytochemical Composition of Moringa oieifera Lam. Cultivated in Ado Ekiti, Nigeria *Advances in Biological Research*, vol. 9, no. 6, p. 436-443.

Omotoso, A. E., Ezealisiji, K. Mkparu, K. I. 2014. Chemometric profiling of methanolic leaf extract of *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius (Euphorbiaceae)* using UV-VIS, FTIR and GC-MS techniques. *Peak Journal of Medicinal Plant Research*, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 6-12.

Prescott, L., Harley, J. P., Klein, D. A. 2008. *Microbiology*. 7th ed. New York, USA : McGraw-Hill companies. ISBN 10: 0072992913.

Sengupta, S., Pramanik, A., Ghosh, A., Bhattacharyya., M. 2015. Antimicrobial activities of actinomycetes isolated from unexplored regions Sundarbans mangrove ecosystem. *BMC Microbiology*, vol. 15, p. 170. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-015-0495-4

PMid:26293487

Soap History. 2014. Types of soap: *Differences between soaps*[online] [cit. 2017-1-13] Available at: http://www.soaphistory.net/soap-facts/soap-types/.

Speers, R., Bernard, H. Grandy, F. Shooter, R. A. 1965. Increased dispersal of skin bacteria into the air after shower baths. *Lancet*, vol. 1, no. 7383, p. 478-480. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(65)91609-0

Taiwo, A., Oluwadare, I., Shobo, A., Amolegbe, S. 2008. Physical and Chemical Characteristics of Soap. *Scientific Research Essay*, vol. 3, p. 515-517.

Tarun, J., Susan, J., Suria, J., Susan, V. J, Criton, S. 2014.Evaluation of pH of bathing Saps and Shampoos for Skin andHair Care. Indian Journal of Dermatology, vol. 59, no. 5, p.442-444.<u>https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5154.139861</u>PMid:25284846

Popescu,V., Soceanu, A., Dobrinas, S., Stanciu, G, Epure, D. T. 2011. Quality Control and Evaluation of Certain Properties for Soap Made in Romania. *Journal of Scientific Study and Research, Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Biotechnology, Food Industry*, vol. 12, no. 3, p. 257-261.

Vivian, O. P, Oyaro, N., Osano, A., Mesopirr, L., Omwoyo, W. N. 2014. Assessment of the Physicochemical Properties of Selected Commercial Soaps Manufactured and Sold in Kenya. *Open Journal of Applied Sciences*, vol. 4, no. 8, p. 433-440. <u>https://doi.org/10.4236/ojapps.2014.48040</u>

Acknowledgments:

The authors wish to appreciate all the technologist at Chemistry and Microbiology Laboratories, Afe Babalola University, Ado-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria.

Contact address:

Pius Abimbola Okiki, Department of Biological Sciences, Afe Babalola University, Ado-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria, E-mail: okikipa@abuad.edu.ng

Abiodun Ayodele Ojo, Department of Chemical Sciences, Afe Babalola University, Dustin-mAdo-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria, E-mail: ojoa@abuad.edu.ng Hadiza Tani Onyibe, Departmet of Biological Sciences, Afe Babalola University, Ado-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria, E-mail: hadizaonyibe@yahoo.com

Olawe Oso, Department of Chemical Sciences, Afe Babalola University, Ado-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria, Email: wale_oso@yahoo.com

Basiru Olaitan Ajiboye, Department of Chemical Sciences, Afe Babalola University, Ado-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria, E-mail: bash1428@yahoo.co.uk