



Potravinarstvo, vol. 10, 2016, no. 1, p. 452-457 doi:10.5219/577 Received: 15 December 2015. Accepted: 26 July 2016. Available online: 16 October 2016 at www.potravinarstvo.com © 2016 Potravinarstvo. All rights reserved. ISSN 1337-0960 (online) License: CC BY 3.0

# A QUALITY ENHANCEMENT GREEN STRATEGY FOR BROILER MEAT BY APPLICATION OF TURMERIC (*Curcuma Longa*) POWDER AS LITTER AMENDMENT TO AFFECT MICROBES, AMMONIA EMISSION, PH AND MOISTURE

Katukurunda Gamage Shanaka Chaminda Katukurunda, Hewa Anthonige Amal Yasas Buddhika, Manoja Krishanthi Wijethunge Gamage, Prabhashini Dissanayake and Dulcy Senaratna

#### ABSTRACT

OPEN 👩 ACCESS

In multi-cultural Sri Lankan conditions, poultry meat is paramount importance in ensuring food security and improving nutrition. Issues as contact dermatitis and ammonia emission in broiler industry which caused by diminished litter parameters cause reduction of meat quality, profits and environmental conditions. Therefore use of Turmeric (Curcuma longa) (TM) powder as an antiseptic litter amendment at several application levels to enhance litter parameters with microbial demolition was attempted. Three months old broiler litter (2 kg) sample was taken and initial pH and moisture was determined. Turmeric was used to mix at levels of 0%, 1%, 3%, 5% and 8% (w/w). After mixing, 150 g of mixed litter was placed in container for each level of the 4 replicates, incubated for 5h and analyzed for Total Plate Count (TPC), Yeast and Mold Count (YMC), total Nematode Count (NC), ammonia emission, pH and moisture. Significant reduction (p < 0.05) of total bacteria was seen (20%, 46%, 95% and 96%) when 1%, 3%, 5% and 8% applications of TM. The YMC reduction was also significant (p < 0.05) (34%, 41%, 55% and 65%). Total nematode reduction (p < 0.05) was 22%, 45%, 62.5% and 70%. A significant (p < 0.05) pH reduction with increment of TM also seen (0.1, 2, 3 and 3%). Moisture (%) was increased (p < 0.05) (6, 0.78, 19 and 1%). Ammonia emission was significantly decreased (p < 0.05) by increased TM (64, 68, 73 and 84%) against control. It was concluded that the bacterial, fungal, nematode counts, pH and Ammonia emission of broiler litter can be significantly reduced with the application of S% (w/w) of turmeric powder.

Keywords: Turmeric powder; Total Plate Count; Mold Count; Nematode Count; NH<sub>3</sub>

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Chicken meat is the most popular meat type of Sri Lanka which had a production of 14.45 million MT, exports of 1524.46 MT and per capita availability of 7.09 kg (Department of Animal Production and Health, 2014). Under multi-cultural conditions, poultry meat is paramount importance in ensuring food security and improving nutritional status, because the consumption of the same and the production of poultry for meat are not severely regulated by ethno-religious taboos. As an industry which relies on quality, production and highly perishable nature, the profit maximization is bit challenging. Diseases to live animals and other management costs affect the industry in general. Basically chickens spend their entire life on a litter which has a higher microbial population may lead to contaminated processed carcasses by increasing the microbial load of skin and feathers and providing a source for upper gastrointestinal contamination during pre-harvest feed withdrawal. Pine shavings, peanut hulls, rice hulls, sand or other materials were commonly used as litter materials. Addition of birds onto litter adds large amount of excreta, feathers, feed and water. The quality of the inhouse environment is highly dependent upon litter quality because of thousands of birds on thousand tons of litter.

Moreover, the microbes are responsible for production of ammonia in poultry houses which has a global issue of green house gases and also affect badly to performance, health, behavior and welfare of animals. Health and welfare problems accompanying with high NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations include damage to the respiratory tract increased vulnerability to Newcastle disease, incidence of airsacculitis, increased Mycoplasma gallisepticum and incidence of keratoconjunctivitis (Katukurunda et al., 2015). High NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations in poultry houses decrease growth rate of birds which reduces meat yield, feed efficiency (Katukurunda et al., 2015), feed conversion and weight gains (Carlile, 1984) which lead to smaller animals and egg production (Katukurunda et al., 2015). Uses of artificial litter amendments to address these problems were seen in the industry which reduces the fertilizer value of litter and increase of operational costs.

The intestinal flora and litter microbes of poultry play a vital role for their growth performance and health. On the other hand, knowledge about these intestinal flora and litter microbial ecology is still limited. Previous explorations which chiefly used culture dependent approaches proved that the common cultural bacteria in small intestine of birds belong to *lactobacilli, enterococci* 

and enterobacteria and in the caecum, principally lactobacilli, enterococci, bacteroides, and clostridia (Mead, 1989; Barnes, 1979; Engberg et al., 2000; Salanitro et al., 1978). Some modern molecular studies have dedicated more comprehensive insight into the constitution of the microbial community of poultry ecosystem (Amit-Romach et al., 2004; Lan et al., 2002; Gong et al., 2002; Lu et al., 2003; Zhu et al., 2002). The constitution and composition of litter microbial community is inclined by factors such as temperature, humidity, day length, light color and intensity etc. (Zhu et al., 2002), though Yardimci and Kenar (2008) reported that the effect of stocking density had no significant effect on microbial load and stocking density changing from 10 to 17 birds.m<sup>-2</sup> did not affect microbial count of broiler litters. Therefore, microbial communities which found under different environmental factors in poultry management can have significant differences and deviations among them. Especially in poultry industry, the dietary and environmental factors affect the microbial status of gastrointestinal tracts of birds. Wet or caked litters and other animal management practices affect microbial composition of poultry gastrointestinal tract directly by giving a continuous bacteria source and indirectly by improving the physical condition and immunity (Apajalahti, 2004).

**Carr et al. (1990)** and **Schefferle (1965)** reported that the total litter bacteria concentrations fall within the range of  $10^{10}$  to  $10^{11}$  colony forming units per gram (CFU.g<sup>-1</sup>) of litter. As per the findings of **Lu et al. (2003)** and **Macklin et al. (2005)** the total aerobic bacteria counts are lower at  $10^8$  to  $10^{10}$ . These amounts can vary with age of litter and age of birds (**Macklin et. al., 2005**) moreover fresh litter were found to have  $10^5$  CFU.g<sup>-1</sup>, as soon as birds were placed on it, numbers of bacteria amplified by several levels of magnitude to  $10^8$ .

NH<sub>3</sub> pollution is a major problem cause acid rains and overriding source of NH<sub>3</sub> in Europe is livestock waste (Apsimon et. al., 1987). Ammonia is generated during the microbial breakdown of undigested proteins and excretory uric acids which in feces of poultry flock. Conditions that favor microbes will result in boosted NH<sub>3</sub> production. These conditions include warm temperature, pH in the neutral range or slightly higher (around 7.0 - 8.5) moisture and the presence of organic matter (Miles et. al., 2004). Rarely the NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations in poultry houses can reach high levels, causing poor poultry performance (Carlisle, 1984). Anderson et al., (1964) concluded that the NH<sub>3</sub> levels low as 20 ppm compromised the immune system of birds, making more vulnerable to respiratory diseases and damaged respiratory systems of animals. By considering all the observations of these negative effects on performance, Carlile (1984) recommended the NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations in poultry sheds should be kept less than 25 ppm. Higher levels of NH<sub>3</sub> may also affect the health of associated workers in these sheds (Donham, 1977) causing a health hazard.

Use of Turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) [TM] as a disinfectant was seen in many cultures especially throughout Asia. **Klimešová et al. (2015)** reports about the beneficial influence of turmeric on lipid metabolism, antihypercholesterolemic effect, anti-lithogenic effect and antioxidant effects in their study. In relation to poultry studies, turmeric improves feed intake in poultry when used at 0.25% level in feed. Several research studies suggested that turmeric can assist to fight microbial actions. Aqueous extracts of turmeric showed good antimicrobial activity against common bacteria, fungi, viruses, yeast, and round worms. Katukurunda et al., (2015) concluded that the application of turmeric higher than 3% (w/w) basis of poultry litter as an amendment was effective in lowering pH and the NH<sub>3</sub> emission of the litter material. Hossain et al. (2015) concluded that the fresh leaves juice (78.9%) and leaf dust (73.3%) of Turmeric plant inhibited the development of Ascaridia galli eggs, one of the most common parasitic roundworms of poultry at 20% w/w concentration. Moreover, Rahman (2002) reported that the highest efficacy against gastrointestinal nematodes in goats by turmeric leaves in alcoholic extracts (100%) than aqueous extract (92%). The overall objective of the study was to investigate the applicability of Turmeric powder as a natural antiseptic litter amendment to diminish microbial populations, ammonia emission rates by antimicrobial effects and wiping out conditions that favor microbes such as pH and moisture to increase the quality of broiler production with comprehensive green alternative.

## MATERIAL AND METHODOLOGY

A representative broiler litter sample  $(\pm 2 \text{ kg})$  was obtained from the broiler unit of the Faculty of Agriculture, University of Ruhuna, Kamburupitiya Sri Lanka, in black poly bag, sealed and well mixed. Turmeric powder available in local market was used to mix with litter (w/w) at 5 different levels; 0%, 1%, 3%, 5% and 8% (Figure 1). After mixing, 150 g of mixed litter was placed in container for each level as 4 replicated samples (5 X 4) and incubated at 30 °C for 5h and analyzed for Total Plate Count (TPC) (Scott et al., 1998) (ISO Standard procedure), Yeast and Mold count (YMC) (Obire et. al., 2008) (ISO Standard procedure), total Nematode Count (NC), pH, moisture % and litter ammonia emission rate (Moore et al., 1996) (ISO Standard procedure). The TPC and YMC were determined by using microbial cultures on PCA and PDA media which prepared to ISO standard procedures respectively. Colonies were counted using the colony counter to determine TPC and YMC.

McMaster Worm Egg Counting Slide (Vet Lab Supplies, United Kingdom) and the ISO Standard procedure which described by **Vadlejch et al.** (2011) was used to determine NC. Ammonia emissions were determined as described by **Moore et al.** (1996) (ISO Standard procedure), with modifications and determined the emission as milligrams of NH<sub>3</sub> emitted /kilogram of fresh litter/hour. Complete randomize design (ANOVA) was used with 4 replicates. Data were analyzed using Minitab 17 (2013).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Jingrang et al., (2003)** reported that total aerobic bacteria in poultry litter were detected by culture at  $10^9$  CFU.g<sup>-1</sup> (colony forming units per gram). Majority are gram-positive, and often represented by the *coryneform* bacteria or *Staphylococcus* spp. Fungi and moulds are commonly present (Gaiero, 2014). Dominant genera are *Aspergillus, Fusarium, Penicillium, Mucor, Scopulariopsis*, and *Onychocola*. Pathogenic strains like *E*.

*coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella*, mostly absent. *E. coli* and *Enterococci* are both found in relatively high in litter (Gaiero, 2014).

**Brooks et al. (2010)** concluded that around 90% of cultured aerobic bacteria in broiler houses were *staphylococci*.

In this experiment a very significant p < 0.05 removal of total bacteria and YMC were found after treatment with different level of application of TM powder (Table 1). The reduction of total bacteria was 20%, 46%, 95% and 96% for 1%, 3%, 5% and 8% applications of TM powder respectively (Figure 1d). The significant reduction of YMC was 34, 41, 55 and 65% from control (Figure 1e). Nematode count reduction p < 0.05 was 22, 45, 62 and 70% (Figure 1f). Considering large scale poultry houses, this kind of microbial reduction may help to increase quality of produce which can declined by contact dermatitis problems like foot pad dermatitis, hock burn

and breast blisters. Besides, the quality of chicken meat is now of major importance, since meat is usually consumed as cuts or as processed goods moderately than as whole carcasses (Haščík et.al., 2015), these problems largely affect meat weight, meat quality and also animal welfare. Unlike synthetic litter amendments with acidifiers such as alum, sodium bisulfate, calcium sulfate, magnesium chloride (Pokharel, 2010), turmeric powder is a 100% natural produce and this green strategy may result fruitful outcomes to the industry as an antiseptic.

Effect of turmeric at different level of applications with poultry litter for NH<sub>3</sub> emission, moisture % and pH values are shown in Table 1. The pH of all application levels were significantly different with the control. Increasing the level of application of TM shows a significant reduction of pH values from 0.1, 2, 3 and 3% than control (Figure 1a). All TM levels have significant p < 0.05 increase of moisture and the 3% level has the lowest increase (Figure 1b).

**Table 1** Effect of turmeric at different levels of application with broiler litter for NH<sub>3</sub> emission, moisture%, pH values microbial properties. Values with different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05), ( $\uparrow$ : Percentage increase and  $\downarrow$ : % decrease than control).

Parameter	Level of Turmeric application				
	0%	1%	3%	5%	8%
(a) <b>pH</b>	9.06a ±0.01	8.97b ±0.01 (↓1%)	8.81c ±0.01 (↓2.75%)	8.73d ±0.01 (↓3.6%)	8.75c ±0 (↓3.4%)
(b) Moisture	51.34e ±0	54.54b ±0.05 (↑6%)	51.74d ±0.02 (↑0.7%)	61.26a ±0.01 (†19% )	51.94c ±0.02 (†1.2%)
(c) NH <sub>3</sub>	0.68a ±0.27	0.24b ±0 (↓64%)	0.21b ±0.01 (↓68%)	0.18bc ±0.02 (↓73%)	0.1c ±0.05 (↓84%)
(d) TPC	$5.75 \times 10^4 a \pm 0$	$4.58 \times 10^4 b \pm 0$ ( $\downarrow 20\%$ )	$3.11 \times 10^4 c \pm 0$ (\146%)	$2.45 \times 10^{3} d \pm 0$ ( $\downarrow 95\%$ )	$2.25 \times 10^{3} e \pm 0$ ( $\downarrow 96\%$ )
(e) YMC	$4.53 \times 10^4 a \pm 0$	2.99×10 <sup>4</sup> b ±0 (↓34% )	$2.64 \times 10^4 c \pm 0$ ( $\downarrow 41\%$ )	$2.04 \times 10^4 d \pm 0$ ( $\downarrow 55\%$ )	$1.57 \times 10^4 e \pm 0$ ( $\downarrow 65\%$ )
(f) NEM	400a ±36.9	333.5b ±21.3 (↓22% )	216.75c ±21.3 (↓45%)	150d ±0 (↓62%)	116.5e ±21.3 (↓70% )



**Figure 1** Effect of turmeric at different levels of application with broiler litter (a) pH (b) moisture% (c) NH<sub>3</sub> emission, (d)TPC (e)YMC (f) NC.

Practically lowering of moisture in a litter may cause lowering of NH<sub>3</sub> production (**Pokharel**, **2010**). The emission of NH<sub>3</sub> is lowered with the increase of turmeric levels significantly p < 0.05 by 64, 68, 73 and 84% respectively (Figure 1c) and seems the increase of moisture did not affect the microbial count reduction.

Bad litter management conditions such as high moisture and pH levels increase the incidence of footpad dermatitis, hock burning damage and breast blisters which have become serious welfare problems in the industry. These problems primarily affect the surface of the footpad, hock joint and in severe cases extends to breast area and reduces meat quality. Birds accompanied with harsh lesions show slower weight gain and reluctance to move as they are obviously lame and experience pain-induced decline in desire for food (Nagaraj, 2006). Nagaraj (2006) concluded that the presence of ammonia or other chemical substances in litter may play a role in the further development of lesions but does not appear to cause it straightly and lesions enhance in severity as litter moisture increases. Moreover, dietary factors have direct influence on litter qualities. Increased salt diets may increase the water intake of birds and increase the litter moisture content via increased excreta. Some animal owners use higher dietary crude protein levels in diets to get higher growth performance to maximize the profits and eventually increase the nutrient content of litter which favors microbial growth. Hence ultimately these conditions may lead to bad litter quality and problems assorted with birds from increased NH<sub>3</sub> and moisture. Moreover, maintaining litter quality especially in broiler industry is a must to overcome these problems by using litter amendments.

Rothrock et al. (2008) evaluated the effect of alum on the microbial population in litter and concluded that the application of alum shifts the microbial population from bacterially to fungal dominated populations by making litter more acidic. Ammonia emissions depend on how much of ammonia nitrogen in solution counters to generate Ammonia against ionized ammonium (NH4<sup>+</sup>), which nonvolatile and dropping pH in litter can decrease the NH<sub>3</sub> emission by leading the equilibrium among NH<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> on the way to the  $NH_4^+$  ions. Pope and Cherry (2000) concluded that sodium bisulfate is highly effective in reducing the ammonia, pH and E. coli in commercial facilities. However, Gholap (2012) concluded that the effects of acidified clay and sodium bisulfate on litter characteristics were ineffective in maintaining low ammonia levels after second week of application. Sodium bisulfate was more effective in reducing litter pH compared to acidified clay. Neither of the litter treatments was ineffective in reducing the bacterial counts of litter. Since all these amendments are synthetic, it is better to switch on to environmental friendly low cost alternatives.

Witkowska and Sowińska (2013) concluded that fogging of poultry houses with aqueous solutions of peppermint and thyme oils effectively reduced bacterial contamination in broiler houses. During their experiment, total counts of mesophilic bacteria in barns fogged with peppermint and thyme oils were lower than control. Both oils were effective in reducing bacterial counts, though thyme oil was more effective in lowering coliform bacteria, whereas peppermint oil had a higher inhibitory effect on *staphylococci* proliferation. Moreover, **Inouye et al.** (2001, 2003) observed the inhibited growth of selected bacteria and fungi under laboratory conditions when treated with essential oils in vapor and liquid form. Therefore, use of natural antiseptics to reduce litter bacterial counts seems to be possible in various ways. Effect of turmeric at different levels of application with broiler litter was further shown graphically in Figure 1.

Application of turmeric powder to broiler litters is more effective to reduce microbial counts and NH<sub>3</sub> emission with a low operational cost compared to other synthetic litter amendments. This strategy practically suits for small or large scale broiler meat producers to improve the hygiene and quality of their produce. As per demand of poultry meat for its paramount importance in ensuring food security and improving nutrition this strategy will reduce the post-harvest losses of industry. Use of turmeric as a litter amendment may provide a green alternative towards global warming and environmental pollution via reducing ammonia emission in poultry farms. Further researches in this topic is much needed to apply these techniques and strategies in large scale operations thus to maximize profits in the industry and reduce pollution.

## CONCLUSION

The bacterial, fungal and nematode counts of broiler litter can be significantly reduced with application of turmeric powder 8% (w/w) as an amendment up to 96, 65 and 70% respectively. The pH values of treatments were significantly reduced but maximum reduction of 3% was seen in 5% (w/w) level. Moisture % was significantly increased than the control along treatments but it did not affect the microbial reduction. Ammonia emission within the treatments were significantly lowered by application of turmeric 8% (w/w) from 64 to 84% along treatments

### REFERENCES

Amit-Romach, E., Sklan, D., Uni, Z. 2004. Microflora ecology of the chicken intestine using 16S ribosomal DNA primers. *Poultry Science*, vol. 83, no. 7, p. 1093-1098. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ps/83.7.1093

PMid:15285498

Anderson, D. P., Beard, C. W., Hanson, R. P. 1964. The adverse effects of ammonia on chickens including resistance to infection with Newcastle disease virus. *Avian Diseases*, vol. 8, no. 3, p. 369-379. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1587967</u>

Apajalahti, J. H. A., Kettunen, A., Graham, H. 2004. Characteristics of the gastrointestinal microbial communities, with special reference to the chicken. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, vol. 60, no. 2, p. 223-232. http://dx.doi.org/10.1079/WPS20040017

Apsimon, H., Kruse, M., Bell, J. N. P. 1987. Ammonia emissions and their role in deposition. Atmospheric Environment, vol. 21, no. 9, p. 1939-1946. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(87)90154-5

Barnes, E. M., 1979. The intestinal microflora of poultry and game birds during life and after storage. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, vol. 46, no. 3, p. 407-419. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.1979.tb00838.x</u> <u>PMid:383674</u>

Brooks, J. P., McLaughlin, M. R., Scheffler, B., Miles, D. M. 2010. Microbial and antibiotic resistant constituents associated with biological aerosols and poultry litter within a commercial poultry house. *Science of the Total Environment*,

vol. 408, no. 20, p. 4770-4777. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.06.038 PMid:20655094

Carlile, F. S. 1984. Ammonia in poultry houses: A literature review, *World's Poultry Science Journal*, vol. 40, no. 2, p. 99-113. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1079/WPS19840008</u>

Carr, L. E., Wheaton, F. W., Douglass, L. W. 1990. Empirical models to determine ammonia concentrations from broiler chicken litter. *Transaction of the ASAE*, vol. 33, no. 1, p. 260-265. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.13031/2013.31325</u>

Caveny, D. D., Quarles, C. L., Greathouse, G. A. 1981. Atmospheric ammonia and broiler cockerel performance. Poultry Science, vol. 60, no. 3, p. 513-516. http://dx.doi.org/10.3382/ps.0600513

Department of Animal production and Health. 2014. Annual Report of the Department of Animal production and Health, Sri Lanka, 2014. Livestock statistics [online] s.a. [cit. 2015-11-09] Available at:

http://www.daph.gov.lk/web/index.php?lang=en.

Donham, K. J., Rubino, M. J., Thedell, T. D. Kammermeyer, J. 1977. Potential health hazards to agricultural workers in swine confinement buildings. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, vol. 19, no. 6, p. 383-387. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00043764-197706000-00004</u>

Engberg, R. M., Hedemann, M. S., Leser, T. D., Jensen, B. B. 2000. Effect of zinc bacitracin and salinomycin on intestinal microflora and performance of broilers. *Poultry Science*, vol. 79, no. 9, p. 1311-1319. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ps/79.9.1311

Gaiero, J. R. 2014. *Microbiology and Nitrogen Mineralization in Composted Poultry Litter Amended with Biodiesel Wash Water* : dissertation thesis. Guelph, Ontario, Canada : The University of Guelph. 58 p.

Gholap, D. B. 2012. Evaluation of Air and Litter Quality with Microbiological Fluctuations in Commercial Broiler Facilities Using a Biological or Chemical Litter Treatment : dissertation thesis. Auburn, Alabama : Auburn University. p. 79-80.

Gong, J., Forster, R. J., Yu, H., Chambers, J. R., Sabour, P. M., Wheatcroft, R., Chen, S. 2002. Diversity and phylogenetic analysis of bacteria in the mucosa of chicken caeca and comparison with bacteria in the cecal lumen. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, vol. 208, no. 1, p. 1-7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2002.tb11051.x PMid:11934485

Gruzauskas, R., Semaskaite, A., Jarule, V., Stupeliene, A.R., Sasyte, V., Angelovicova, M., Hagarova, M., Kliment M. 2011. The impact of phytobiotics origami aetheroleum and probiotics pediococcus acidilactici ma 18/5 m on biochemical blood indices and preceacal availability of calcium and phosphorus in broiler chickens. *Potravinárstvo*, vol. 5, no. 1, p. 355-358.

Haščík, P., Trembecká, L., Bobko, M., Čuboň, J., Bučko, O., Tkáčová, J. 2015. Evaluation of meat quality after application of different feed additives in diet of broiler chickens. *Potravinarstvo*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 174-182. http://dx.doi.org/10.5219/429

Hossain, M. S., Dey, A. R., Alim, M. A., Begum, N. 2015. In vitro efficacy of medicinal plant material on the inhibition of development of egg of Ascaridia galli. *The Journal of Advances in Parasitology*, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 5-10. http://dx.doi.org/10.14737/journal.jap/2015/2.1.5.10

Inouye, S., Abe S., Yamaguchi H., Asakura M. 2003. Comparative study of antimicrobial and cytotoxic effects of selected essential oils by gaseous and solution contacts. *International Journal of Aromatherapy*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 33-41. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0962-4562(03)00057-2</u>

Inouye, S., Takizawa T. and Yamaguchi H. 2001. Antibacterial activity of essential oils and their major constituents against respiratory tract pathogens by gaseous contact. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, vol. 47, no. 5, p. 565-573. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/47.5.565</u> <u>PMid:11328766</u>

Jingrang, L., Sanchez, S., Hofacre, C., Maurer, J. J., Harmon, B. G., Lee, M. D. 2003. Evaluation of Broiler Litter with Reference to the Microbial Composition as Assessed by Using 16S rRNA and Functional Gene Markers. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 69, p. 901-908. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.2.901-908.2003 PMCid:PMC143620

Katukurunda, K. G. S. C., Gamage, M. K. W., Buddhika, H. A. A. Y., Prabhashini, S. D., Senaratna, D. 2015. Comparison of Microbial Aspects, Ammonia Emission Rates and Properties of Broiler and Layer Litters after Application of Turmeric (Curcuma longa) Powder. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Technology*, vol. 2, no. 4, 19-24.

Klimešová, M., Horáček, J., Ondřej, M., Manga, I., Koláčková, I., Nejeschlebová, L., Ponížil, A. 2015. Microbial contamination of spices used in production of meat products. *Potravinarstvo*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 154-159. http://dx.doi.org/10.5219/440

Lan, P. T., Hayashi, H., Sakamoto, M. Benno, Y. 2002. Phylogenetic analysis of cecal microbiota in chicken by the use of 16S rDNA clone libraries. *Microbiology and Immunology*, vol. 46, no. 6, p. 371-382. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1348-0421.2002.tb02709.x PMid:12153114

Lu, J., Idris, U., Harmon, B., Hofacre, C., Maurer, J. J., Lee, M. D. 2003. Diversity and succession of the intestinal bacterial community of the maturing broiler chicken. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 69, no. 11, p. 6816-6824. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.11.6816-6824.2003</u> PMid:14602645

Lu, J., Shanchez, S., Hofacre, C., Maurer, J. J., Harmon, B. G., Lee, M. D. 2003. Evaluation of broiler litter with reference to the microbial composition as assessed by using 16s rRNA and functional gene markers. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 69, no. 2, p. 901-908. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.2.901-908.2003 PMid:12571010

Macklin, K. S., Hess, J. B., Bilgili, S. F., Norton, R. A. 2005. Bacterial levels of pine shavings and sand used as poultry litter. *The Journal of Applied Poultry Research*, vol. 14, p. 238-245. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/japr/14.2.238</u>

Mead, G. C. 1989. Microbes of the avian cecum: Types present and substrates utilized. *The Journal of Experimental Zoology Supplement*, vol. 3, p. 48-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jez.1402520508

Miles, D., Branton, S. L., Lott, B. D. 2004. Atmospheric ammonia is detrimental to the performance of modern commercial broilers. *Poultry Science*, vol. 83, no. 10, p. 1650-1654. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ps/83.10.1650</u> PMid:15510548

Moore, P. A. Jr., Daniel, T. C., Edwards, D. R. 1999.Reducing phosphorus runoff and improving poultryproduction with alum. Poultry Science, vol. 78, no. 5, p. 692-698.<a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ps/78.5.692">http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ps/78.5.692</a>PMid:10228965

Nagaraj, M. 2006. Evaluation of nutrition and management factors in the etiology of pododermatitis in broiler chickens :

dissertation thesis. Auburn, Alabama : Auburn University. 121 p.

Obire, O., Anyanwu, E. C., Okigbo, R. N. 2008. Saprophytic and crude oil degrading fungi from cow dung and poultry droppings as bioremediating agents, *Journal of Agricultural Technology*, vol. 4, no. 2, p. 81-89.

Pokharel, B. B. 2010. Ammonia emission from poultry industry, its effect and mitigation mechanism [online] s.a. [cit. 2016-07-12] Available at: http://www.academia.edu/1799968/AMMONIA\_EMISSION \_FROM\_POULTRY\_INDUSTRY\_ITS\_EFFECTS\_AND\_M ITIGATION\_MECHANISM.

Pope, M. J. and Cherry, T. E. 2000. An evaluation of the presence of pathogens on broilers raised on Poultry Litter Treatment (PLT) treated litter. *Poultry Science*, vol. 79, no. 9, p. 1351-1355. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ps/79.9.1351</u> PMid:11020084

Rahman, M. 2002. In vitro and in vivo anthelminitic effects of some plants against gastro intestinal nematodes of goats : dissertation thesis. Mymensingh, Bangladesh : Agricultural University, 121 p.

Rothrock, M. J., Cook, K. L., Warren, J. G., Sistani, K. 2008. The effect of alum addition on microbial communities in poultry litter. *Poultry Science*, vol. 87, no. 8, p. 1493-1503. http://dx.doi.org/10.3382/ps.2007-00491

PMid:18648040

Salanitro, J. P., Blake, I. G., Muirehead, P. A., Maglio, M., Goodman, J. R. 1978. Bacteria isolated from the duodenum, ileum and cecum of young chicks. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 35, no. 4, p. 782-790. <u>PMid:646359</u>

Schefferle, H. E. 1965. The microbiology of built up poultry litter. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, vol. 28, no. 3, p. 403-411. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.1965.tb02170.x</u>

Scott, A. M., McCann, M. A., Waltman, W. D. 1998. Microbiological Survey of Georgia Poultry Litter. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 90-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/japr/7.1.90

Vadlejch, J., Petrtýl, M., Zaichenko, I., Čadková, Z., Jankovská, I., Langrová, I., Moravec, M. 2011. Which McMaster egg counting technique is the most reliable? *Parasitology Research*, vol. 109, no. 5, p. 1387-1394. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00436-011-2385-5 PMid:21526406 Witkowska, D., Sowińska, J. 2013. The effectiveness of peppermint and thyme essential oil mist in reducing bacterial contamination in broiler houses. Poultry Science, vol. 92, no. 11, p. 2834-2843. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3382/ps.2013-03147</u> PMid:24135585

Yardimci, M. and Kenar, B. 2008. Effect of stocking density on litter microbial load in broiler chickens. *Archiva Zootechnica*, vol. 11, no. 3, p. 75-81.

Zhu, X. Y., Zhong T., Pandya, Y., Joerger, R. D. 2002. 16S rRNA based analysis of microbiota from the caecum of broiler chickens. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, vol. 68, no. 1, p. 124-137.

#### Acknowledgments:

Authors wish to provide their special acknowledgement to Mr. P.K. Lal, Technical Officer, Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Ruhuna, Mapalana, Kamburupitiya, Sri Lanka for his encourageous and worthy help throughout the whole process to complete this study.

### Contact address:

Katukurunda Gamage Shanaka Chaminda Katukurunda, Department of Food Science and Technology, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Gangodawila, Nugegoda, Sri Lanka, E-mail:kgsckatukurunda@sci.sjp.ac.lk.

Hewa Anthonige Amal Yasas Buddhika, Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Ruhuna, Mapalana, Kamburupitiya, Sri Lanka, E-mail: yasas.biz@gmail.com.

Manoja Krishanthi Wijethunge Gamage, Department of Food Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Ruhuna, Mapalana, Kamburupitiya, Sri Lanka, E-mail: mkwgamage@gmail.com.

Prabhashini Dissanayake, Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Ruhuna, Mapalana, Kamburupitiya, Sri Lanka, E-mail: prabhashini1987@gmail.com.

Dulcy Senaratna, Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Ruhuna, Mapalana, Kamburupitiya, Sri Lanka, E-mail: dsenaratna@yahoo.com.