





Potravinarstvo Slovak Journal of Food Sciences vol. 14, 2020, p. 1088-1096 https://doi.org/10.5219/1490 Received: 15 September 2020. Accepted: 15 November 2020. Available online: 28 November 2020 at www.potravinarstvo.com © 2020 Potravinarstvo Slovak Journal of Food Sciences, License: CC BY 3.0 ISSN 1337-0960 (online)

ESSENTIAL OILS AND THEIR APPLICATION IN A FOOD MODEL

Lucia Galovičová, Veronika Valková, Jana Štefániková, Miroslava Kačániová

ABSTRACT

OPEN 🧑 ACCESS

The aim of the study was to investigate the chemical composition, antioxidant, and antimicrobial activity of essential oils (*Canarium luzonicum* CLEO, *Melaleuca leucadenron* MLEO, *Amyris balsamifera* ABEO). There was Gas chromatographic-mass spectrometric analysis used for the characteristic of the semiquantitative composition of the essential oils. The DPPH method was used to determine the antioxidant activity. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of essential oils against *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* were analyzed in a 96-well plate. The broth microdilution method was used for the minimal inhibitory concentration. A gas-phase antimicrobial assay was used to determine inhibitory concentrations in a food model. CLEO proved to be the best with the lowest MIC 50 and 90 of 6.67 μ L.mL⁻¹ respectively 6.81 μ L.mL⁻¹ and antioxidant activity of 33.43% among the tested essential oils. The main volatile compounds CLEO were limonene 36.38%, elemol 16.65%, α -fellandren 12.18% and elemicin 9.59%. It showed inhibition of *S. maltophilia* growth in the food model at the lowest concentrations among the essential oils.

Keywords: *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*; *Canarium luzonicum*; *Melaleuca leucadenron*; *Amyris balsamifera*; essential oil; food model

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, natural substances have come to the fore due to their low toxicity, pharmacological effects, and economic advantage (**Dias**, **Urban**, **and Roessner**, **2012**).

Elemi (*Canarium luzonicum*) essential oil comes from an evergreen tree that reaches a height of more than 30 meters and a trunk diameter of more than 1 meter. *C. luzonicum* naturally occurs in the Philippines (**Barwick, Schans and Claudy, 2004**).

Oleoresin is one of the aromatic components. Therefore, it has a wide range of uses in the pharmaceutical and food industries. It is also used for its rubefic, expectorant, antifungal, antibacterial and antirheumatic effects (Nikolic et al., 2016).

Kajeput essential oil (*Melaleuca leucadendron*) is used for its antifungal, antiviral, antibacterial, antiseptic, and anti-inflammatory effects. The plant occurs predominantly in Indonesia (**Pujiarti**, **Ohtani and Ichiura**, **2011**). Many of the compounds present in this plant are considered to be bioactive substances (**Cleber et al.**, **2007**).

Amyris, essential oil (*Amyris balsamifera*) comes from always green small trees and it has high flammability. It occurs in the Caribbean and near the Gulf of Mexico (**Rohmer, Schwartz and Anton, 2012**). Amyris is rich in sesquiterpene alcohol. It has antiseptic effects (**Khan and Abourashed, 2009**). Stenotrophomonas maltophilia is a non-fermentative, gram-negative, aerobic bacillus. These bacteria can form biofilm structures. It is most often found in raw milk, vegetables, fruits and fish products regarding the food industry (An and Berg, 2018).

We aimed to determine chemical composition, antioxidant activity, and minimal inhibitory concentrations of these essential oils against the bacterium *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*.

Another aim was also to evaluate the inhibitory effect of essential oils against *S. maltophilia* from the surface of carrots, potatoes and apples by using a vapor phase antimicrobial test.

Scientific hypothesis

We assume the presence of biologically active substances and the antioxidant potential of essential oils. Given the available literature, we assume the inhibitory effect of essential oils on the bacteria *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. We believe that essential oils could also have an inhibitory effect in the vapor phase.

MATERIAL AND METHODOLOGY Essential oil

The tested essential oils (*Canarium luzonicum* CLEO, *Melaleuca leucadenron* MLEO, *Amyris balsamifera* ABEO) were bought from the Hanus s.r.o (Slovakia).

Microorganism

Bacteria *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* was got from the dairy industry. It was identified by 16S rRNA sequencing and MALDI-TOF MS Biotyper.

Chemical Composition of Essential Oils

There was Gas chromatographic-mass spectrometric (GC-MS, Agilent 7890B, Agilent 5977A, Agilent Technologies Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA) and CombiPal autosampler 120 (CTC Analytics AG, Zwingen, Switzerland) parsing test of the essential oil used as well as in a previous study (Kačániová et al., 2020). The results were set as the average mean and standard deviation of three repeated measurements.

Radical Scavenging Activity—DPPH Method

The activity of capturing free radicals with essential oil was determined in the same way as in the study of **Kačániová et al. (2020)** by using 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH, Sigma Aldrich, Germany) method.

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)

The bacterial culture was cultivated in the Muller Hinton broth (MHB, Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK)) at 37 °C for 24 h. One hundred μ L inoculum with a density of 0.5 McF was inoculated into each well of a 96-well microtitration plate. There was 100 μ l of the essential oil with a concentration from 0.3125 μ L to 10 μ L per well added after inoculation. Negative control contended a mixture of MHB with essential oil, while a mix of MHB with bacterial inoculum was used as a control of maximum growth (Hassan et al. 2011). The absorbance was measured and evaluated in the same way as it was in the study of **Kačániová et al.** (2020). The experiment was carried out in three repeated measurements.

Statistical analysis

The measurements were repeated three times. Statistical variability of the data was processed with $Microsoft^{TM}$ Excel® software.

 Table 1 Main components of essential oil Canarium luzonicum.

Name	Synonyms	TIC% Area ^a
β-phellandrene		4.54 ± 0.04
α-phellandrene		12.2 ± 0.05
β-pinen		0.61 ± 0.03
α-terpinene		0.61 ± 0.01
d-limonene		36.4 ± 0.16
cis-sabinene		3.06 ± 0.03
a-ocimene		0.38 ± 0.01
γ-terpinen		0.58 ± 0.02
cymene		3.35 ± 0.01
a-terpinolen		1.59 ± 0.02
4,8,8-trimethyl-2-methylene-4-		0.51 ±0.02
vinylbicyclo[5.2.0]nonane		
terpinen-4-ol		1.15 ± 0.01
a-terpineol		3.83 ± 0.01
α-phellandrene epoxide		0.39 ± 0.03
1,3,4-eugenol methyl ether	4-allylveratrole	0.69 ± 0.01
elemol		16.7 ± 0.18
guaiol		0.43 ± 0.02
10-epi-γ-eudesmol		1.59 ± 0.01
γ-eudesmol		0.84 ± 0.01
rosifoliol		1.08 ± 0.01
elemicin		9.59 ± 0.11

Note: ^a mean value \pm SE.

Potravinarstvo Slovak Journal of Food Sciences

Results The MIC value (concentration causing 50% and 90% reduction in bacteria growth) was determined by logit analysis. Statistical evaluation of the antioxidant activity of the obtained data was performed using the GraphPad Prism 8.0.1 (GraphPad Software Incorporated, San Diego, California, USA). One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Tukey test was used for statistical analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemical Composition of Essential Oils

The main volatile compounds of the analyzed essential oil CLEO based on reduced percentage were limonene 36.38%, elemol 16.65%, α -fellandren 12.18% and elemicin 9.59% (Table 1). Swift (2002) has stated 59.4%,

 α -phelandrene 8.01%, and sabinene 3.35% as the main constituents of *Canarium luzonicum*.

Orchard et al. (2017) found out that the main components of the essential oil *C. luzonicum* were limonene 47.5%, elemol 18.4%, and α -phelandrene 9.2%.

Malik (2019) indicated sabinene 5.7%, α -phelandrene 17.6%, limonene 56%, and elemol 6.3% as the main components of the essential oil *C. luzonicum*. Silva et al. (2012) identified limonene, β -Cymene, β -fellandren, α -phellandren and β -pinene as the main components of C. luzonicum essential oil.

The main volatile compounds of the analyzed MLEO based on the reduced percentage were eucalyptol 49.23%, α -terpineol 9.92%, limonene 8.12%, and caryophyllene 5.65% (Table 2). Pujiarti et al. (2011) in their study tested 9 varieties of *M. leucadendron* from Java and Indonesia, in which twenty-six compounds were identified.

Table 2 Main components of essential oil Melaleuca leucadenron.

Name	Synonyms	TIC% Area ^a
3-carene		0.25 ± 0.02
α-phellandrene		0.26 ± 0.03
β-pipene		0.83 ± 0.01
α-terpinene		0.48 ± 0.01
d-limonene		8.12 ± 0.04
eucalyptol	1,8-epoxy-p-menthane;	49.2 ± 0.18
	1,8-cineol	
γ-terpinene		2.91 ± 0.01
4-cymene		3.16 ± 0.01
α-terpinolen		1.24 ± 0.01
α-copaen		0.29 ± 0.01
linalyl butanoate	linalyl butyrate	1.13 ± 0.01
caryophyllene		5.65 ± 0.03
p-menth-1-en-4-ol	1-terpinen-4-ol	0.83 ± 0.03
2,4-dihydroxy-2-methylpentane	hexylene glycol	4.11 ±0.02
1,5,9,9-tetramethyl-1,4,7-cycloundecatriene -,		2.91 ± 0.01
β-maaliene		0.43 ± 0.01
a-muurolene		0.53 ± 0.01
β-cadinene		0.65 ± 0.01
a-terpineol acetate		1.84 ± 0.01
α-terpineol		9.92 ± 0.04
α-selinene		2.09 ± 0.01
eudesma-3,7(11)-diene	selina-3,7(11)-diene	0.39 ± 0.02
bicyclogermacrene	lepidozene; isolepidozene	0.36 ± 0.03
caryophylene oxide		0.31 ± 0.02
globulol	ledol	1.09 ± 0.01
1,2-diacetate-1,2,3-propanetriol	1,2-diacetin	0.26 ± 0.02

Note: ^a mean value ±SE.

Name	Synonyms	TIC% Area ^a
amorpha-4,11-diene	muurola-4,11-diene	2.58 ±0.02
β-cadinene		0.73 ± 0.01
β-chamigrene		0.36 ± 0.01
4a,8-dimethyl-2-(prop-1-en-2-yl)-1,2,3,4,4a,5,6,7-		0.29 ± 0.02
octahydronaphthalene		
δ-bisabolene		0.95 ± 0.01
α-zingiberene		2.21 ± 0.01
β-bisabolene		$0.97\pm\!\!0.01$
α-maaliene		0.56 ± 0.03
β-maaliene		0.32 ± 0.02
cedrene	β-funebrene	4.91 ±0.02
a-curcumen		2.44 ± 0.01
nerolidol		1.57 ± 0.03
α-chamigrene		0.56 ± 0.04
elemol		9.62 ± 0.01
10-epi-γ-eudesmol		14.7 ± 0.01
β-eudesmol		0.78 ± 0.02
γ-eudesmol	machilol; selinenol	$2.49\pm\!\!0.04$
β-cadinene		0.60 ± 0.01
β-guaiene	azulene	0.46 ± 0.01
8-epi-γ-eudesmol		0.40 ± 0.03
valerianol		23.2 ± 0.16
guaiol		19.4 ± 0.16
1,2,3,6-tetramethylbicyclo[2.2.2]		0.53 ± 0.02
octa-2,5-diene		
bisabolone		0.99 ± 0.01
selin-6-en-4α-ol	eudesm-6-en-4α-ol	0.27 ± 0.03
β-vetispirene	β -vatirenene; β -vetivenene	0.55 ± 0.03
isolongifolol, methyl ether		0.94 ± 0.01
2-phenylethyl iodide		0.90 ± 0.02
7-epi-γ-eudesmol		0.35 ± 0.02
drim-7-en-11-ol		1.84 ± 0.01

Table 3 Main components of essential oil Amyris balsamifera.

Note: ^a mean value \pm SE.

These samples had a very similar composition. The results showed that 1,8-cineole (eucalyptol; 44.76 – 60.19%) was the main compound in these oils, followed by α -terpineol (5.93 – 12.45%), limonene (4.45 – 8.85%) and β -carophyllene (3.78 – 7.64%). **Sharifi-Rad et al.** (2017) reported *M. leucadendron* terpinen-4-ol 30%, 1,8-cyneol 15%, α -terpineol 8%, and limonene 1.5% as the main antimicrobial compounds. **Tia et al.** (2013) reported in their study terpinolene 29.21%, α -terpinene 22.55%, 2-

 γ -carene 8.53% and α -phelandrene 7.61% as the main components of *M. leucadenron* essential oil. Fall et al. (2017) identified the 1.8-Cineol, α -Terpineol and β -Citronellol as main components *M. leucadendron*.

The main volatile compounds of the analyzed ABEO based on reduced percentages were valerianol 23.24%, guaiol 16.56%, elemol 9.62%, and γ -eudesmol 7.95% (Table 3).

Potravinarstvo Slovak Journal of Food Sciences



Figure 1 *In situ* antimicrobial analyses of vegetables and fruit with *S. maltophilia* in vapor phase with essential oil *C. luzonicum* (A-carrot, B-potato, C-apple).



Figure 2 *In situ* antimicrobial analyses of vegetables and fruit with *S. maltophilia* in vapor phase with essential oil *M. leucadendron* (A-carrot, B-potato, C-apple).



Figure 3 *In situ* antimicrobial analyses of vegetables and fruit with *S. maltophilia* in vapor phase with essential oil *A. balsamifera* (A-carrot, B-potato, C-apple).

Alves et al. (2015) analyzed the chemical composition of amyris essential oil (*Amyris balsamifera* L.) in their study. The main components are eudesmol 23.6%, elemol 14%, and valerianol 12.3%. Uniyal et al. (2016) studied the chemical composition of essential oils by gas chromatography and mass spectrometry. Their results show that the main components of amyris oil are βcadinene 22.66%, (+) - calarene 23.29%, driminol 24%, and linalool oxide 8.48%. Park and Park (2012) reported that the major compounds of *A. balsamifera* are βsesquiphellandrene, elemol, γ -eudesmol and valerianol. Yun et al. (2012) they determined chemical compounds essential oil A. balsamifera and the major constituents were elemol, γ -eudesmol and β -sesquiphellandrene.

Antioxidant Activity of essential oils

CLEO essential oil in our study had an antioxidant activity of 33.43%. Murthy et al. (2016) determined a DPPH radical inhibition value for the essential oil of 28%. Lin et al. (2009) found the antioxidant activity of *C. luzonicum* 11.38%.

MLEO inhibited the DPPH radical at 18.43%. **Pino et al.** (2010) reported that *M. leucadenron* essential oil achieved a free radical inhibition value of 19.9%. **Zhang et al.** (2017) reported antioxidant activity of *M. leucadenron* of 15.7%.

ABEO essential oil achieved an inhibition value of 9.29%. Nikšić et al. (2018) studied amyris essential oil in their study and recorded an antioxidant activity of 10.8%. Dahiya and Manglik (2013) determined the antioxidant activity of *A. balsamifera* at 19.89%.

The essential oils tested were statistically significantly different (p < 0.0001).

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)

We determined the MIC 50 and 90 CLEO for *S.* maltophilia to be 6.67 μ L.mL⁻¹ respectively 6.81 μ L.mL⁻¹ by using an agar microdilution method. Nikolic et al. (2016) focused on the inhibition of clinical isolates of the genus *Candida* by the influence of essential oils and found out that the MIC for *C. luzonicum* oil was 2.5 mg/ml. Zhang et al. (2017) reported MIC *C. luzonicum* for *E. coli* 10 μ L.mL⁻¹ and *P. fluorescens* 12.3 μ L.mL⁻¹. Angelini et al. (2019) determined MIC of *C. luzonicum* for *A. tubingensis* 12.7 μ L.mL⁻¹ and *F. oxysporum* 3.17 μ L.mL⁻¹.

There was minimum inhibitory concentration 50 and 90 MLEO for S. maltophilia 8.25 µL.mL⁻¹ and 8.96 µL.mL⁻¹. Siddique et al. (2020) reported in his study for M. leucadendron MIC values of 4 µL.mL⁻¹ for *B. spizizenii*, 8 µL.mL⁻¹ S. aureus and resistance to P. aeruginosa 250 μ L.mL⁻¹ and S. enterica 250 μ L.mL⁻¹. Lieu et al. (2018) examined the antifungal activity of M. leucadendron in food storage and found out MIC of 20 μ L.mL⁻¹ for A. niger. Pintas and Quave (2019) focused on the antifungal activity of essential oils against Malassezia spp. They determined a MIC of 64 µL.mL⁻¹ for *M. leucadendron*. Bautista-Silva et al. (2020) found MIC of M. leucadendra Salmonella thiphymurium 7.8 μL.mL⁻¹ for and Pseudomonas aeruginosa 31.2 µL.mL⁻¹.

We determined a MIC 50 and 90 of 10.31 μ L.mL⁻¹ respectively 10.73 μ L.mL⁻¹ for ABEO. **Xiao et al. (2020)** studied the essential oils and their activity against the stationary phase of *S. aureus*. They determined the MIC for the essential oil of *Amyris balsamifera* 1.5 μ L.mL⁻¹. **Santiago et al. (2018)** examined the antibiofilm activity on *Xylella fastidiosa* and found out that the MIC for *Amyris balsamifera* was 125 μ L.mL⁻¹.

In Situ Antimicrobial Effect on Vegetables and Fruit

The antimicrobial study of essential oils was determined by an *in situ* method. CLEO inhibited the growth of *S. maltophilia* on the surface of carrots and potatoes at a concentration of 250 mg/ml. Inhibition for apple was recorded at a concentration of 125 mg.mL⁻¹ (Figure 1).

MLEO showed inhibition of *S. maltophilia* growth on carrots at a concentration of 500 mg.mL⁻¹, on potato and apple surface at 250 mg.mL⁻¹ (Figure 2).

ABEO inhibited bacterial growth at a concentration of 125 mg.mL⁻¹ per carrot, 250 mg.mL⁻¹ per apple and up to 500 mg.mL⁻¹ per potato (Figure 3).

CONCLUSION

The results of our work demonstrated the inhibitory effect of essential oils on *S. maltophila* in a food model. CLEO proved to be the best with the lowest MIC of 6.67 μ L.mL⁻¹ and antioxidant activity of 33.43% among the tested essential oils. It showed inhibition of *S. maltophilia* growth in the food model at the lowest concentrations among the essential oils.

REFERENCES

Alves, A., Mantovani, A. L. L., Martins, M. H. G., Abrao, F., Lucarini, R., Crotti, A. E. M., Martins, C. H. G. 2015. Antimycobacterial Activity of Some Commercially Available Plant-Derived Essential Oils. *Chemistry of Natural Compounds*, vol. 51, no. 2, p. 353-355. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10600-015-1281-0

An, S., Berg, G. 2018. *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. *Trends in Microbiology*, vol. 26, no. 7, p. 637-638 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2018.04.006

Angelini, P., Bricchi, E., Zeppilli, N., Dimitriu, L., Rondolini, M., Angeles, G., Covino, S., Venanzoni, R. 2019. Screening of the antifungal activity of essential oils against human and plant pathogenic filamentous fungi. *Flora Mediterranea*, vol. 29, no. 26, p. 5-12. https://doi.org/10.7320/FIMedit29.005

Barwick, M., Schans, A., Claudy, J. 2004. *Tropical and Subtropical Trees - A Worldwide Encyclopaedic Guide*. LONDON, ENGLAND : Thames & Hudson, 319-323 p. ISBN: 0-500-51181-0.

Bautista-Silva, J. P., Seibert, J. B., Amparo, T. R., Rodrigues, I. V., Teixeira, L. F. M., Souza, G. H. B., Santos, O. D. H. 2020. *Melaleuca leucadendra* Essential Oil Promotes Loss of Cell Membrane and Wall Integrity and Inhibits Bacterial Growth: An In Silico and In Vitro Approach. *Current Microbiology*, vol. 77, no. 1, p. 2181-2191. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-020-02024-0</u>

Cleber, J. S., Luiz, C. A. B., Celia, R. A. M., Antonio, L. P., Franz, M. D. I. 2007. Comparative Study of the Essential Oils of Seven Melaleuca Species Grown in Brazil. *Journal Flavor Fragr*, vol. 22, no. 1, p. 474-478. https://doi.org/10.1002/ffj.1823

Dahiya, P., Manglik, A. 2013. Evaluation of Antibacterial, Antifungal and Antioxidant Potential of Essential Oil from Amyris balsamifera Against Multi Drug Resistant Clinical Isolates Amity Institute of Biotechnology. *Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinica Research*. vol. 6, no. 5, p. 57-60. Dias D. A., Urban, S., Roessner, U. 2012. A Historical Overview of Natural Products in Drug Discovery. *Metabolites Journal*, vol. 2, no. 2, p 303-336. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo2020303</u>

Fall, R., Ngom, S., Sall, D., Sembène, M., Samb, A. 2017. Chemical characterization of essential oil from the leaves of *Callistemon viminalis* (D.R.) and *Melaleuca leucadendron* (Linn.). *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*, vol. 7, no. 4, p. 347-351. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apjtb.2017.01.004

Hassan, A., Usman, J., Kaleem, F., Omair, M., Khalid, A., Iqbal, M. 2011. Evaluation of different detection methods of biofilm formation in the clinical isolates. *Brazilian Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 15, no. 4, p. 305-311. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1413-8670(11)70197-0</u>

Kačániová, M., Galovičová, L., Ivanišová, E., Vukovic, N. L., Štefániková, J., Valková, V., Borotová, P., Žiarovská, J., Terentjeva, M., Felšöciová, S., Tvrdá, E. 2020. Antioxidant, Antimicrobial and Antibiofilm Activity of Coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) Essential Oil for Its Application in Foods. *Foods*, vol. 9, no. 3, p. 282. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9030282

Khan, A. I., Abourashed, E. A. 2009. *Encyclopaedia of common natural ingredients used in foods drugs and cosmetics*. NEW YORK: John Wiley & Sons Inc, 658-724 p. ISBN: 978-0-471-46743-4.

Lieu, M. D., Ngo, N. H., Lieu, T. L., Nguyen, K. T., Dang, K. T. 2018. The efficacy of combined application of edible coatings and essential oil in mango preservation. *Vietnam Journal of Science and Technology*, vol. 56, no. 4, p. 458-467. <u>https://doi.org/10.15625/2525-</u> 2518/56/4/10794

Lin, CH., Yu, W., Wu, S., Yih, K. 2009. DPPH Free-Radical Scavenging Activity, Total Phenolic Contents and Chemical Composition Analysis of Forty-Two Kinds of Essential Oils. *Journal of Food and Drug Analysis*, vol. 17, no. 5, p. 386-395. <u>https://doi.org/10.38212/2224-6614.2594</u>

Malik, S. 2019. Trends in Biosynthesis, Analytics, Industrial Applications and Biotechnological Production. CHAM, SWITZERLAND: Springer, 111-112 p. ISBN: 978-3-030-16546-8.

Murthy, K. R., Chandrasekhara, R. M., Rani, S. S., Pullaiah, T. 2016. Bioactive principles and biological properties of essential oils of Burseraceae: A review. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, vol. 5, no. 2, p. 247-258.

Nikolic, M., Smiljkovic, M., Markovic, T., Cirica, A., Glamoclija, J., Markovic, D., Sokovic, M. 2016. Sensitivity of clinical isolates of Candida to essential oils from Burseraceae family. *Experimental and clinical sciences journal*, vol. 15, no. 1, p. 280-289. http://doi.org/10.17179/excli2014-621

Nikšić, H. A., Durić, K., Omeragić, E., Nikšić, H. E., Muratović, S., Bečić, F. 2018. Chemical characterization, antimicrobial and antioxidant properties of *Mentha spicata* L. (*Lamiaceae*) essential oil. *Bulletin of the Chemists and Technologists of Bosnia and Herzegovina*, vol. 50, no. 1, p. 43-48.

Orchard, A., SandasI, M., Kamatou, G., Viljoen, A., Vuuren, S. 2017. The in vitro Antimicrobial Activity and Chemometric Modelling of 59 Commercial Essential Oils against Pathogens of Dermatological Relevance. *Chemistry and Biodiversity*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 57-65. https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201600218

Park, H. M., Park, I. K. 2012. Larvicidal activity of *Amyris balsamifera*, Daucus carota and *Pogostemon cablin* essential oils and their components against *Culex pipiens pallens. Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology*, vol. 15, no. 4, p. 631-634. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aspen.2012.07.006</u>

Pino, J. A., Cuevas-Glory, L., Sauri-Duch, E. 2010. Volatile Constituents of Peel and Leaf Oils of Cajel Orange (*Citrus sinensis* L. Osbeck). *Journal of Essential Oil Bearing Plants*, vol. 10, no. 6, p. 742-746. https://doi.org/10.1080/0972060X.2010.10643889

Pintas, S. K., Quave, C. L. 2019. A Review of Botanicals Exhibiting Antifungal Activity Against *Malassezia* spp. Implicated in Common Skin Conditions. *Current Dermatology Reports*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 279-296. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13671-019-00274-1

Pujiarti, R., Ohtani, Y., Ichiura, H. 2011. Physicochemical properties and chemical compositions of Melaleuca leucadendron leaf oils taken from the plantations in Java, Indonesia. *Journal of Wood Science*, vol. 57, no. 1, p. 446-451. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10086-011-1183-0</u>

Rohmer, M., Schwartz, A. C., Anton, R. 2012. Sesquiterpenes from essential oil of Amyris balsamifera. *Phytochemistry*, vol. 16, no. 6, p. 773-774. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422(00)89256-0</u>

Santiago, M. B., Moraes, T. S., Massuco, J. E., Silva, L. O., Lucarini, R. Silva, D. F., Vieira, T. M., Crotti, A. E., Martins, C. H. 2018. In vitro evaluation of essential oils for potential antibacterial effects against Xylella fastidiosa. *Journal of Phytopathology*, vol. 166, no. 11-12, p. 790-798. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jph.12762</u>

Sharifi-Rad, J., Salehi, B., Varoni, E. M., Sharopov, F., Yousaf, Z., Ayatollahi, S. A., Kobarfard, F., Sharifi-Rad, M., Afdjei, M. H., Irit, M. 2017. Plants of the Melaleuca Genus as Antimicrobial Agents: From Farm to Pharmacy. *Phytoterapy Research*, vol. 31, no. 10, p. 1475-1494. https://doi.org/10.1002/ptr.5880

Siddique, S., Parveen, Z., Bareena, F., Mazharc, S. 2020. Chemical composition, antibacterial and antioxidant activities of essential oils from leaves of three *Melaleuca species* of Pakistani flora. *Arabian Journal of Chemistry*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 67-74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2017.01.018

Silva, E. R., Oliveira, D. R., Leitão, S. G., Assis, I. M., Veiga, V. F., Lourenço, M. C., Alviano, D. S., Alviano, C. S., Bizzo, H. R. 2012. Essential oils of Protium spp. samples from Amazonian popular markets: chemical physicochemical composition, parameters and antimicrobial activity. Journal of Essential Oil Research, vol. 25, no. 171-178. 3, p. https://doi.org/10.1080/10412905.2012.751055

Swift, K. A. D. 2002. Advances in flavours and fragrances, from the sensation to the synthesis. CAMBRIDGE, ENGLAND: Royal Society of Chemistry, 95 p. ISBN 0-85404-821-9.

Tia, E. V., Lozano, P., Menut, C., Lozano, Y. F., Martin, T., Niamké, S., Adima, A. A. 2013. Potentiality of essential oils for control of the whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* Genn., a greenhouse pest. *Phytothérapie*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 31-38. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10298-012-0736-8</u>

Uniyal, A., Tikara, S. N., Agrawalc, O. P., Sukumarana, D., Veer, V. 2016. Quantitative evaluation of essential oils for the identification of chemical constituents by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. *Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science*, vol. 1, no. 1, p. 22-37.

Xiao, S., Cui, P., Shi, W., Zhang, Y. 2020. Identification of essential oils with activity against stationary phase *Staphylococcus aureus*. Complementary Medicine and Therapies, vol. 20, no. 9, p. 2-10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12906-020-02898-4

Yun, M. S., Yeon, B. R., Cho, H. M., Choi, J. S., Kim, S. 2012. Herbicidal Activity of Essential Oil from Amyris (*Amyris balsamifera*). Weed & Turfgrass Science, vol. 1, no. 4, p. 44-49. <u>https://doi.org/10.5660/WTS.2012.1.4.044</u>

Zhang, X. L., Xu, W. F., Chen, G., Wang, H. F., Pei, Y. H. 2017. Two new phenolic glycosides isolated from the fruits of *Citrus aurantium*. *Chinese Journal of Natural Medicines*, vol. 15, no. 1, p. 41-44. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1875-5364(17)30006-7

Funding:

This work has been supported by the APVV SK-BY-RD-19-0014 grant "The formulation of novel compositions and properties study of the polysaccharides based edible films and coatings with antimicrobial and antioxidant plant additives."

Acknowledgments:

We thank to AgroBioTech Research Centre built in accordance with the project Building Research Centre "AgroBioTech" ITMS 26220220180 and to Operational Program Research and Innovation: "Support of research activities in the ABT RC, 313011T465, co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund".

Contact address:

*Lucia Galovičová, Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, Faculty of Horticulture and Landscape Engineering, Department of Fruit Science, Viticulture and Enology, Tulipánová 7, 949 76, Nitra, Slovakia, +421 907 260 116, E-mail: l.galovicova95@gmail.com

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1203-4115

Veronika Valková, Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, Faculty of Horticulture and Landscape Engineering, Department of Fruit Science, Viticulture and Enology, Tulipánová 7, 949 76, Nitra, Slovakia, AgroBioTech Research Centre, Slovak University of Agriculture, Tr. A. Hlinku 2, 94976 Nitra, Slovakia, +421 911 633 386, E-mail: veronika.valkova@uniag.sk

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7048-6323

Jana Štefániková, AgroBioTech Research Centre, Slovak University of Agriculture, Tr. A. Hlinku 2, 94976 Nitra, Slovakia, +421 37 641 4911,

E-mail: jana.stefanikova@uniag.sk

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3799-4390

Miroslava Kačániová, Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, Faculty of Horticulture and Landscape Engineering, Department of Fruit Science, Viticulture and Enology, Tulipánová 7, 949 76, Nitra, Slovakia, Department of Bioenergetics, Food Analysis and Microbiology, Institute of Food Technology and Nutrition, University of Rzeszow, Cwiklinskiej 1, 35-601 Rzeszow, Poland, +421 905 499 166, E-mail: <u>kacaniova.miroslava@gmail.com</u> ORCID: <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1336-4594</u>

Corresponding author: *